

ISOPARAMETRIC HYPERSURFACES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO SPECIAL GEOMETRIES

FIROUZ KHEZRI

1. MOTIVATION

Let Υ be an object (e.g tensor) whose isotropy group under the action of $SO(n_k)$ is $H_k \subseteq SO(n_k)$. Consider H_k structures (N^{n_k}, g, Υ) with Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{so}(n_k) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ uniquely decomposable according to:

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \frac{1}{2}T,$$

where $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{h}_k \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ and $T \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^{n_k})$. A $\mathfrak{h}_k$ -valued connection Γ of H_k structure is called characteristic connection.

We could ask the following questions:

- (1) In which dimensions n_k of N does such a decomposition exist?
- (2) What is Υ which reduces $SO(n_k)$ to H_k for them?
- (3) What are the possible isotropy groups H_k of $SO(n_k)$?

Moreover, if $T \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^{n_k})$ was identically zero, then since $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma$, the holonomy group of (N^{n_k}, g, Υ) would be reduced to $H_k \subseteq SO(n_k)$. All irreducible compact Riemannian manifold (N^{n_k}, g, Υ) with reduced holonomy group are classified by Berger; In this note we will focus on irreducible symmetric spaces G/H from Cartan's list, with the holonomy group $H_k \subseteq SO(n_k)$. The simplest irreducible symmetric space in Cartan's list is $N^5 = SU(3)/SO(3)$.

Pawel Nurowski [21] studied 5-dimensional manifolds (N, g, Υ) and states that such Υ whose isotropy group under the action of $SO(5)$ is the irreducible $SO(3)$ is determined by the following conditions:

- (1) $\Upsilon_{ijk} = \Upsilon_{(ijk)}$ (totally symmetric)
- (2) $\Upsilon_{ijj} = 0$ (trace-free)
- (3) $\Upsilon_{ijk}\Upsilon_{lmi} + \Upsilon_{lji}\Upsilon_{kmi} + \Upsilon_{kli}\Upsilon_{jmi} = g_{jk}g_{lm} + g_{lj}g_{km} + g_{kl}g_{jm}$.

The tensor Υ can be defined by means of a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{ijk}x_i x_j x_k &= \frac{1}{2} \det \begin{pmatrix} x_5 - \sqrt{3}x_4 & \sqrt{3}x_3 & \sqrt{3}x_2 \\ \sqrt{3}x_3 & x_5 + \sqrt{3}x_4 & \sqrt{3}x_1 \\ \sqrt{3}x_2 & \sqrt{3}x_1 & -2x_5 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x_5^3 + \frac{3}{2}x_5(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - 3x_5(x_3^2 + x_4^2) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}x_4(x_1^2 - x_2^2) + 3\sqrt{3}x_1x_2x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Date: June 11, 2009.

Supported by Berlin Mathematical School.

Then he uses the results of Cartan's work on isoparametric hypersurfaces and claims that:

Theorem 1.1. *The only dimensions n_k in which conditions (1) – (3) admit a solution for Υ_{ijk} are $n_k = 3k + 2$, where $k = 1, 2, 4, 8$.*

Theorem 1.2. *In dimensions $n_k = 5, 7, 13$ and 26 tensor Υ reduces the $\mathbf{GL}(n_k, \mathbb{R})$ via $O(n_k)$ to a subgroup H_k , where:*

- *For $n_1 = 5$ the group H_1 is the irreducible $SO(3)$ in $SO(5)$; The torsionless compact model is $SU(3)/SO(3)$*
- *For $n_2 = 8$ the group H_2 is the irreducible $SU(3)$ in $SO(8)$; The torsionless compact model is $SU(3)/SU(3)/SU(3)$*
- *For $n_4 = 14$ the group H_4 is the irreducible $Sp(3)$ in $SO(14)$; The torsionless compact model is $SU(6)/Sp(3)$*
- *For $n_8 = 26$ the group H_8 is the irreducible $F_4(3)$ in $SO(26)$; The torsionless compact model is E_6/F_4 .*

Pawel Nurowski does not give complete proof for his claim, in this note we show how this claim can be reduced to a classification result on principle orbits of rank 2 symmetric spaces by Hsiang and Lawson[15]; For this purpose we will give a brief introduction to the theory of isoparametric hypersurfaces in section 2, then we will see the argument of the claimed statement in section 3. Finally we end this note with inhomogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces whose construction is nicely related to Clifford algebras, and we will collect open problems in isoparametric hypersurfaces at the end of this note.

I would like to thank Prof. Ilka Agricola for having given me this nice topic to investigate. She was always open to my arising questions and guiding me patiently.

My cordial thanks go to Prof. Dirk Ferus who helped me so much to understand the concept of isoparametric hypersurface. Specially I am very grateful for his generous help to provide me nice articles on isoparametric hypersurface, and his helpful lecture notes on the topic.

Last but not least, I would like to thank the Berlin Mathematical School and the One-Stop-Office for the financial and un-financial support by providing the atmosphere conducting to fruitful research.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF ISOPARAMETRIC HYPERSURFACES

Definition 2.1. A family of isoparametric hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}^n$ is a collection of level sets of a non-constant, real valued and smooth function f defined on an open and connected subset of $\tilde{M}^n$ such that the gradient and Laplacian of f satisfy

$$(1) \quad |grad(f)|^2 = F_1(f), \quad \Delta(f) = F_2(f),$$

where F_1, F_2 are smooth functions : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Such f with this properties is called isoparametric function.

Hence $|grad(f)|^2$ and $\Delta(f)$ are functions of f and these quantities are constant on each level set of f . Constancy of the gradient along the level set of a function f implies that the families $\{f^{-1}(c)\}$ are parallel. We show that constancy of the Laplacian together

with constancy of the gradient implies that the mean curvature of the hypersurface is constant, for this purpose assume that f is as above and $M_c^{n-1} = \{x \in \tilde{M}^n | f(x) = c\}$ is a nonsingular ($\text{grad}(f) \neq 0$) hypersurface. Under this assumption

$$(2) \quad \xi = \frac{\text{grad}(f)}{|\text{grad}(f)|},$$

is a unit normal vector field to the hypersurface M_c^{n-1} . The second fundamental form h for M_c^{n-1} is given by

$$(3) \quad h(X, Y) = \frac{-H_f(X, Y)}{|\text{grad}(f)|},$$

where H_f denotes the Hessian of the function f on $\tilde{M}^n$. The mean curvature (=trace of h) is given by

$$(4) \quad \frac{(\text{grad}(f))(|\text{grad}(f)|) - (|\text{grad}(f)|)\Delta f}{|\text{grad}(f)|},$$

but (1) implies that (4) is constant, that is, each hypersurface has constant mean curvature. If we assume that the ambient space $\tilde{M}^n$ is a manifold with constant sectional curvature then hypersurface M^{n-1} has constant mean curvature if and only if its all principal curvatures are constant[20]. Actually this fact is a starting point in order to do our main job, so let to state this as a new definition.

Definition 2.2. Let M^{n-1} be an immersed hypersurface in a simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold $\tilde{M}^n$ with constant sectional curvature, in particular let $\tilde{M}^n$ be $\mathbb{R}^n$, S^n or H^n , then we call M^{n-1} an isoparametric hypersurface if all its principal curvatures are constant.

Notice that in general it is not true that isoparametric hypersurfaces in any Riemannian manifold have constant principal curvatures. Examples have been found in $P^n(\mathbb{C})$ by Wang [25].

Now we can also give a more intuitive geometric definition of isoparametric hypersurface.

Definition 2.3. Let $\tilde{M}^n$ be a real space-form namely $\mathbb{R}^n$, H^n and S^n then an isoparametric family of hypersurfaces is a family of parallel hypersurfaces $g_t : M^{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{M}^n$ obtained from a hypersurface $g : M^{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{M}^n$ with constant principal curvatures

For $\tilde{M}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$, the formula for g_t is

$$(5) \quad g_t(x) = g(x) + t\xi(x),$$

and for $\tilde{M}^n = S^n$, the formula for g_t is

$$(6) \quad g_t(x) = \cos t \, g(x) + \sin t \, \xi(x).$$

There is a similar formula in hyperbolic space (see, for example, [7]).

In 1938 Segre [22] showed that an isoparametric hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can have at most two distinct principal curvatures, and it must be an open subset of a hyperplane, hypersphere or spherical cylinder $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. A similar result holds for H^n . Thus isoparametric hypersurfaces in this two cases are completely classified. However conditions in S^n do not lead to such strong restrictions, and Cartan found far more examples of isoparametric hypersurfaces in S^n that are all geometrically interesting. Nevertheless till now there

is no complete classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces of S^n . From now on we will only focus on isoparametric hypersurfaces on S^n and all discussion will be on spherical case. But before starting to give a classification we need some preparation.

Locally, for sufficiently small values of t , the map g_t (6) is also an immersed hypersurface. However, the map g_t may develop singularities at the focal points of the original hypersurface $g(M^{n-1})$. More precisely:

Definition 2.4. Let M^{n-1} be an immersed hypersurface in S^n and let ξ be a unit normal vector field. A point $y_0 \in S^n$ is called focal point of (M^{n-1}, x_0) where $x_0 \in M^{n-1}$, if

$$(7) \quad y_0 = \cos t_0 x_0 + \sin t_0 \xi(x_0),$$

for some t_0 and if the differential of the mapping

$$(8) \quad (x, t) \rightarrow \cos t x + \sin t \xi(x),$$

is singular at (x_0, t_0) . A point y_0 is called a focal point of M^{n-1} if it is focal point of (M^{n-1}, x_0) for some $x_0 \in M^{n-1}$.

We see that

$$(9) \quad y_0 = \cos t_0 x_0 + \sin t_0 \xi(x_0),$$

is a focal point of (M^{n-1}, x_0) if and only if $\cot t_0$ is one of the principal curvatures.

Now assume that M^{n-1} has p distinct constant principal curvatures $a_1 = \cot \theta_1, \dots, a_p = \cot \theta_p$ of multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_p$. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, let

$$(10) \quad T_i(x) = \{X \in T_x M^{n-1} | AX = a_i X; x \in M^{n-1}\},$$

where A is the shape operator. The distribution $T_i : x \rightarrow T_i(x)$ of dimension m_i is integrable and any maximal integral manifold $M_i(x)$ of $T_i(x)$ through x is totally geodesic in M^{n-1} and umbilical as a submanifold of S^n . Moreover if M^n is connected and compact, then $M_i(x)$ is a m_i -dimensional small sphere of S^n .

We define a differential mapping $g_i : M^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$ by

$$(11) \quad g_i(x) = \cos \theta_i x + \sin \theta_i \xi(x),$$

where $\theta_i = \text{arccot } a_i$. The differential of g_i at x has $T_i(x)$ as the null space and injective on the subspace $\sum_{k \neq i} T_k(x)$ of $T_x M$. It follows that for each point x there is a local coordinate system $u^1, \dots, u^n$ with origin x such that g_i is a one-to-one immersion of the slice $u^1 = \dots = u^{m_i}$ into S^n , the image being contained in $g_i(M^{n-1})$. In this way $g_i(M^{n-1})$ is a submanifold of dimension $(n - m_i - 1)$ in a neighborhood of $g_i(x)$. Geometrically, g_i maps $M_i(x)$ into one single point $g_i(x)$ and $g_i(M^{n-1})$ collapses by dimension m_i . So $g_i(M^{n-1})$ is an $(n - m_i - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold which is called focal submanifold of M^{n-1} .

Let M_t^{n-1} be a family of the parallel family arising from the $M^{n-1} = M_0^{n-1}$. We want to see how the principal curvatures of the original hypersurface M_0^{n-1} are related to the principal curvatures of the immersed hypersurfaces M_t^{n-1} . If $a_i = \cot \theta_i$ is one of the principal curvatures of M^{n-1} at $x \in M^{n-1}$, then the corresponding principal curvature of M_t^{n-1} at the point x_t is $\cot(\theta - t)$. The geodesic distance from x_0 to $g_i(x_0)$ is θ_i and the geodesic distance from x_t to $g_i(x_0)$ is $\theta - t$. This means that the focal submanifold of M_t^{n-1} corresponding to the principal curvature $\cot(\theta - t)$ coincides with focal submanifold of M^{n-1} corresponding to $\cot \theta_i$. Hence all isoparametric hypersurfaces in the family have the same focal submanifold. Moreover we will see later that each family of isoparametric hypersurface has two focal submanifolds.

Münzner published two preprints that greatly extended Cartan's work and have served as the basis for much of the research in the field since that time. The preprints were eventually published as papers [17, 18] in 1980–1981.

In the first paper [17], Münzner began with a geometric study of the focal submanifolds of an isoparametric hypersurface $g : M^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$ with p distinct principal curvatures. Using the fact that each focal submanifold of g is obtained as a parallel map g_t , where $\cot t$ is a principal curvature of $g(M^{n-1})$, Münzner computed a formula for the shape operator of the focal submanifold $g_t(M^{n-1})$ in terms of the shape operator of $g(M^{n-1})$ itself. Then by using a symmetry argument, he proved that if the principal curvatures of $g(M^{n-1})$ are written as $\cot \theta_k, 0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_p < \pi$, with multiplicities m_k , then

$$(12) \quad \theta_k = \theta_1 + \frac{(k-1)}{p}\pi, \quad 1 \leq k \leq p,$$

and the multiplicities satisfy $m_k = m_{k+2}$ (subscripts mod p). Thus, if p is odd, all of the multiplicities must be equal, and if p is even, there are at most two distinct multiplicities.

If $\cot t$ is not a principal curvature of g , then the map g_t in equation (6) is also an isoparametric hypersurface with p distinct principal curvatures $\cot(\theta_1 - t), \dots, \cot(\theta_p - t)$. If $t = \theta_k \pmod{\pi}$, then the map g_t is constant along each leaf of the m_k -dimensional principal foliation T_k , and the image of g_t is a smooth focal submanifold of g of codimension $m_k + 1$ in S^n . All of the hypersurfaces g_t in a family of parallel isoparametric hypersurfaces have the same focal submanifolds.

In a crucial step in the theory, Münzner then showed that $g(M^{n-1})$ and its parallel hypersurfaces and focal submanifolds are each contained in a level set of a homogeneous polynomial F of degree p satisfying the following *Cartan–Münzner differential equations* involving the Euclidean differential operators $\text{grad } F$ and Laplacian ΔF on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} |\text{grad } F|^2 &= p^2 r^{2p-2}, & r &= |x|, \\ \Delta F &= cr^{p-2}, & c &= p^2(m_2 - m_1)/2, \end{aligned}$$

where m_1, m_2 are the two (possibly equal) multiplicities of the principal curvatures on $f(M^{n-1})$.

Conversely, the level sets of the restriction $F|_{S^n}$ of a function F satisfying equations (13) constitute an isoparametric family of hypersurfaces and their focal submanifolds, and F is called the *Cartan–Münzner polynomial* associated to this family. Furthermore, Münzner showed that the level sets of F are connected, and thus any connected isoparametric hypersurface in S^n lies in a unique compact, connected isoparametric hypersurface obtained by taking the whole level set.

The values of the restriction $F|_{S^n}$ range between -1 and $+1$. For $-1 < t < 1$, the level set $M_t = (F|_{S^n})^{-1}(t)$ is an isoparametric hypersurface, while $M_+ = (F|_{S^n})^{-1}(1)$ and $M_- = (F|_{S^n})^{-1}(-1)$ are focal submanifolds. Thus, there are exactly two focal submanifolds for the isoparametric family, regardless of the number p of distinct principal curvatures. Each principal curvature $\cot \theta_k, 1 \leq k \leq p$, gives rise to two antipodal focal points corresponding to the values $t = \theta_k$ and $t = \theta_k + \pi$ in equation (12).

Now we can start our classification, let p be a number of distinct principal curvatures of isoparametric hypersurface in S^n then Cartan himself could completely classified all isoparametric heypersurfaces with $p \leq 3$ and gave examples with $p = 4$. In the next coming paragraph we explain his work.

In the case $p = 1$, M^{n-1} is an open subset of a great or small hypersphere in S^n .

If $p = 2$, then M^{n-1} must be a standard product of two spheres,

$$(14) \quad S^a(r) \times S^b(s) \subset S^n(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{a+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1} = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad r^2 + s^2 = 1,$$

where $n = a + b + 1$.

The case $p = 3$ is one of the interesting case, here we see why we have such a restricted dimensions in Theorem 1.1. Cartan [5] showed that this case can occur only in a certain dimensions of sphere, in particular in dimensions 4, 7, 13 and 25 of sphere. Isoparametric hypersurfaces with $p = 3$ must be a tube of constant radius over a standard embedding of a projective plane $\mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F})$ into S^n (see, for example, [9, pp. 296–299]), where $\mathbb{F}$ is the division algebra $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ (quaternions), $\mathbb{O}$ (Cayley numbers). Then he obtained isoparametric function whose level sets define isoparametric hypersurface family. Cartan showed that any isoparametric family with p distinct principal curvatures of the same multiplicity can be defined by an equation of the form $F = \cos pt$ (restricted to S^n), where F is a harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree p on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying

$$(15) \quad |\text{grad } F|^2 = p^2 r^{2p-2},$$

where $r = |x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $\text{grad } F$ is the gradient of F in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This was a forerunner of Münzner's general result (13) that every isoparametric hypersurface is algebraic, and its defining polynomial satisfies certain differential equations which generalize those that Cartan found in this special case. When $p = 3$, then Cartan obtained homogeneous polynomial F of degree 3

$$(16) \quad F(X) = u^3 - 3uv^2 + \frac{3}{2}u^2(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} - 2z\bar{z}) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}v(x\bar{x} - y\bar{y}) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}(xyz + \overline{xyz}),$$

where for,

- $n = 4$ $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$
- $n = 7$ $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$
- $n = 13$ $x, y, z \in \mathbb{H}$
- $n = 25$ $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$.

Unfortunately we could not understand (for language and notation problem of old text) how Cartan argued for dimension problem in this case. However Prof. Dirk Ferus [11] gave us one alternative argument based on an unpublished paper of Prof. H. Karcher which we are going to explain in next paragraph. We also refer to one another argument in [10] by Console and Olmos, they related the shape operator of the hypersurface to the Clifford system then by using representation theory of Clifford algebra they showed that isoparametric hypersurface of S^n with three distinct principal curvatures can only occur in dimension 4, 7, 13 and 25.

The goal is to construct a certain division algebra by using the properties of isoparametric hypersurfaces with 3 distinct principal curvatures then our argument follows from dimension of this division algebra. Consider a manifold M with covariant derivative ∇ . The latter extends naturally to the tensor algebra of M . In particular, for a (1,1)-tensor field A we have

$$(\nabla_X A).Y := \nabla_X(AY) - A(\nabla_X Y),$$

Now consider an isoparametric hypersurface $g : M^{n-1} \rightarrow S^n$ with shape operator A and principal curvatures λ_i . Put $E_i := \ker(A - \lambda_i Id)$, and take vector fields $X_i, Y_i, Z_i \in \Gamma(E_i)$. Then

$$(\nabla_{X_i} A).Y_j = \nabla_{X_i}(\lambda_j Y_j) - A\nabla_{X_i} Y_j = (\lambda_j - A)\nabla_{X_i} Y_j \in E_j^\perp.$$

Using Codazzi's equation $(\nabla_X A).Y = (\nabla_Y A).X$ we obtain moreover

$$(17) \quad (\nabla_{X_i} A).Y_j = (\lambda_i - A)\nabla_{Y_j} X_i,$$

and therefore

$$(18) \quad (\nabla_{X_i} A).Y_j \in \sum_{k \neq i, j} E_k.$$

For $i \neq j$ the self-adjointness of $\nabla_{X_i} A$ yields

$$(19) \quad \langle (\nabla_{X_i} A).Y_i, Z_j \rangle = \langle Y_i, (\nabla_{X_i} A).Z_j \rangle = 0,$$

since $(\nabla_{X_i} A).Z_j \in E_i^\perp$. Hence, by (18) and (19),

$$(20) \quad (\nabla_{X_i} A).Y_i = 0,$$

or

$$A(\nabla_{X_i} Y_i) = \nabla_{X_i} (AY_i) = \lambda \nabla_{X_i} Y_i.$$

This shows that E_i are integrable, and its integral manifolds the λ_i -leaves, are totally geodesic in M . If the ambient space is a sphere, the leaves are small spheres, and the idea is the reconstruction of the immersion g by moving the leaves along each other.

We now restrict to the case $p = 3$, that is, M is hypersurface in S^n with three distinct principal curvatures λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 with multiplicities m_1, m_2 and m_3 respectively. Since the number of distinct principal curvatures is odd, all multiplicities must be equal, so let $m := m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ then $n = 3m + 1$. Hence the isoparametric hypersurface is immersed in an $(3m + 1)$ -dimensional sphere, and it suffices to show that m can be only 1, 2, 4, 8. If we choose isomorphisms $\rho : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ and $\sigma : E_3 \rightarrow E_2$ then

$$YZ := \sigma((\nabla_{\rho(Y)} A).Z),$$

for $Y, Z \in E_2$ defines a division algebra on E_2 . Note that $(\nabla_{\rho(Y)} A).Z \in E_3$ for $Y, Z \in E_2$ by (18). Hence $m = \dim E_2 \in \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ and from this we obtain that dimensions of sphere having isoparametric hypersurfaces with three distinct principal curvatures can be only 4, 7, 13 and 25. From the classification of those algebra, we conversely get information on ∇A , i.e on the initial condition for the equation of motion of A along the λ_i -leaves. This allows the construction of g . Thus, in case $p = 3$ not only the multiplicities are known, but the isoparametric hypersurfaces themselves.

In the case $p = 4$, Cartan produced isoparametric hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures of multiplicity one in S^5 and four principal curvatures of multiplicity two in S^9 . He noted all of his examples are homogeneous, each being an orbit of a point under an appropriate closed subgroup of $SO(n + 1)$. Based on his results and the properties of his examples, Cartan asked the following three questions [5], all of which were answered in the 1970's.

- (1) For each positive integer p , does there exist an isoparametric family with p distinct principal curvatures of the same multiplicity?
- (2) Does there exist an isoparametric family of hypersurfaces with more than three distinct principal curvatures such that the principal curvatures do not all have the same multiplicity?
- (3) Does every isoparametric family of hypersurfaces admit a transitive group of isometries?

These three questions will lead our note from now on, and we will reach to our main goal through answering to these questions, specially second question is more interesting and solution to this one will guide us to find answer for our main goal.

Münzner showed that each isoparametric hypersurface M_t in the family separates the sphere S^n into two connected components D_1 and D_2 , such that D_1 is a disk bundle with fibers of dimension $m_1 + 1$ over M_+ , and D_2 is a disk bundle with fibers of dimension $m_2 + 1$ over M_- , where m_1 and m_2 are the multiplicities of the principal curvatures that give rise to the focal submanifolds M_+ and M_- , respectively.

This topological situation has been the basis for many important results in this field concerning the number p of distinct principal curvatures and the multiplicities m_1 and m_2 . In particular, in his second paper, Münzner [18] assumed that M is a compact, connected embedded hypersurface that separates S^n into two disk bundles D_1 and D_2 over compact manifolds with fibers of dimensions $m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 + 1$, respectively. From this hypothesis, Münzner proved that the dimension of the cohomological ring $H^*(M, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ must be 2α , where α is one of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6. He then proved that if M is a compact, connected isoparametric hypersurface with p distinct principal curvatures, then $\dim H^*(M, \mathbf{Z}_2) = 2p$. Combining these two results, Münzner obtained his major theorem that the number p of distinct principal curvatures of an isoparametric hypersurface in a sphere S^n must be 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6.

Hence this provides a negative answer for Cartan's first question.

In the next two coming sections we will discuss and provide the answer for the second and third Cartan's questions, respectively.

3. HOMOGENEOUS ISOPARAMETRIC HYPERSURFACES

Definition 3.1. We say that isoparametric hypersurface is homogeneous if its isometry group acts on it transitively.

If $M^{n-1} \subset S^n = \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n) \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is an orbit of a subgroup G of $\text{SO}(n+1)$, then M^{n-1} is homogeneous and has constant principal curvature, so any orbits of such a group forms an isoparametric family in S^n .

A classification of homogenous isoparametric hypersurface families follow from a classification of all subgroups G of $\text{SO}(n+1)$ such that the principle orbits of G in S^n have codimension 1 considered as a submanifold of S^n or, what is the same thing, have codimension two as a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that such an action of G on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has cohomogeneity two. One can simplify the problem by asking for only those groups G that are maximal in the sense, that there is no subgroup H of $\text{SO}(n+1)$ strictly containing G and having the same orbit as G . There is a list of all maximal isometric action with cohomogeneity two of a compact group G on Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by Hsiang and Lawson, it turns out that this list consist exactly of the isotropy representations (action of Ad) of rank two symmetric spaces. Hence homogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces in a sphere are principle orbits of the isotropy representation of a rank two symmetric spaces. We explain it in more explicit way here:

Let $G/H = N^{n+1}$ be a symmetric space of compact type and consider its Cartan's decomposition to orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is Lie algebra of H and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ which can be identified by

$$T_x N = \mathfrak{p},$$

so $\dim N = \dim \mathfrak{p}$.

Define unit sphere S^n in $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to the positive definite inner product in $\mathfrak{p}$ induced from the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$S^n = \{u \in \mathfrak{p} \mid \langle u, u \rangle = 1\}.$$

Suppose $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$, then we have a decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Let Δ be the set of all positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$, and put:

$$\Delta^* = \{\lambda \in \Delta \mid \frac{\lambda}{2} \notin \Delta\},$$

then Takagi and Takahashi [23] proved that:

- Theorem 3.1.** (1) *Every orbit M in $\mathfrak{p}$ under K meets $\mathfrak{a}$.*
(2) *An orbit M has the highest dimension iff M contains no singular elements of $\mathfrak{a}$ and the highest dimension is exactly $\dim \mathfrak{p} - \dim \mathfrak{a}$. (An element $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ is called a singular iff $\exists Y \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$ with $[X, Y] = 0$, i.e $\exists \alpha \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha(X) = 0$)*
(3) *If the rank of (G, H) is 2 then an orbit M of a unit vector in S^n under K of highest dimension is a hypersurface of S^n and the principal curvature of M in S^n are given by $-\frac{\lambda(B)}{\lambda(A)}$, where $\lambda \in \Delta^*$ and the pair A, B is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $A \in M \cap \mathfrak{a}$. The multiplicity of $-\frac{\lambda(B)}{\lambda(A)}$ is equal to the sum of the multiplicity of λ and 2λ .*

Cartan's list of irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type of rank 2 and preceding theorem lead us to the following table:

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{h}$	$\dim M$	p	m_i
$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3)$	3	3	$m_i = 1$
$\mathfrak{su}(3) + \mathfrak{su}(3)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3)$	6	3	$m_i = 2$
$\mathfrak{su}(6)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3)$	12	3	$m_i = 3$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	24	3	$m_i = 3$
$\mathfrak{so}(n+2), n \geq 3$	$\mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathfrak{so}(2)$	$2n-2$	4	$m_1 = m_3 = 1; m_2 = m_4 = n-2$
$\mathfrak{su}(n+2), n \geq 2$	$\mathfrak{su}(n) + \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$4n-2$	4	$m_1 = m_3 = 2; m_2 = m_4 = 2n-3$
$\mathfrak{sp}(n+2), n \geq 2$	$\mathfrak{sp}(n) + \mathfrak{sp}(2)$	$8n-2$	4	$m_1 = m_3 = 4; m_2 = m_4 = 4n-5$
$\mathfrak{so}(5) + \mathfrak{so}(5)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5)$	8	4	$m_i = 2$
$\mathfrak{so}(10)$	$\mathfrak{u}(5)$	18	4	$m_1 = m_3 = 4; m_2 = m_4 = 5$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) + \mathbb{R}$	30	4	$m_1 = m_3 = 6; m_2 = m_4 = 9$
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{so}(4)$	6	6	$m_i = 1$
$\mathfrak{g}_2 + \mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	12	6	$m_i = 2$

The first 4 rows of table prove Pawel Nurowski's claims.

A quick look to the this table shows that there are many isoparametric hypersurfaces with 4 principal curvatures such that their multiplicities are not equal, this provides a positive answer to Cartan's second question cited in section 2.

Example 3.1. Only S^7 and S^{13} have all possible type of distinct principal curvatures. Here we want to see in detail all isoparametric hypersurfaces of S^7 . Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_8)$

be the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^8$ and $f = F|S^7$, denote k -dimensional sphere with radius "r" by $S^k(r)$:

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{1} : \quad F(x) = x_8, \quad f^{(-1)}(t) = S^6(\sqrt{1-t}), \quad t \in (-1, 1).$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{2} : \quad F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 - \sum_{j=k+1}^8 x_j^2, \quad f^{(-1)}(t) = S^k\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-t}{2}}\right) \times S^{6-k}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+t}{2}}\right).$$

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{3} :$$

$$F(x) = u^3 - 3uv^2 + \frac{3}{2}u^2(|x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2|z|^2) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}v(|x|^2 - |y|^2) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}(xyz + \overline{xy}z)$$

where, $x = (u, v, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{R}^8$,

and $f^{-1}(t) \cong \text{SU}(3)/\mathbf{T}^2 = \text{isotropy orbit of } \text{SU}(3)\text{SU}(3)/\text{SU}(3)$. We may emphasize that this case is already our "good" case and we knew this from section 2, and polynomial $F(x)$ corresponds to the second row in the table, it is expression of tensor Υ in $\text{SU}(3)\text{SU}(3)/\text{SU}(3)$ of dimension 8. This polynomial of degree 3 can be modified by considering right order of (x,y,z) in $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ in order to express the tensor Υ by polynomial of degree 3 in $\text{SU}(6)/\text{Sp}(3)$ and E_6/F_4 respectively.

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{4} : \quad F(x) \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4, and } f^{-1}(t) = \text{isotropy orbit of } \text{SO}(6)/\text{SO}(2)\text{SO}(4).$$

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{6} : \quad F(x) \text{ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 6, and } f^{-1}(t) \cong \text{SO}(4)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \text{isotropy orbit of } \text{G}_2/\text{SO}(4).$$

Proof of the theorem 3.1 shows that the normal great circles to the family of isoparametric hypersurface are the intersection of Cartan subalgebra with S^n . The focal points on a normal great circles are the singular elements in the corresponding Cartan subalgebra that lies in S^n , and the number p of distinct principal curvatures relates to the Weyl group of the symmetric space, since the angle between the rays in the boundary of Weyl chamber is π/p . Order of the Weyl group is $2p$. Hence the principal curvatures and their multiplicities can be calculated from the roots of the symmetric space.

To give a concrete example take the oriented Grassmanian of two planes $\mathbf{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+3})$. Its rank is equal to two and the order of the Weyl group is eight. We therefore get an isoparametric family with $p = 4$ in S^{2n+1} . Its multiplicities can be seen to be 1 and $n - 1$.

There is a short note of Nomizu[4] from the year 1973 in which he expressed the above example in terms of an isoparametric function on S^{2n+1} :

$$F(x, y) = (|x|^2 - |y|^2)^2 + 4\langle x, y \rangle,$$

where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$.

Example 3.2. Let $N^5 = \text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$, then $\mathfrak{su}(3) = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, more precisely $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{su}(3) | X^t = X\}$ which can be identified canonically by $T_x N^5$, hence it is 5-dimensional subspace. Consider the orbit

$$M := \{kxk^{-1} | k \in \text{SO}(3), x \in \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ we have $[X, x] = 0$ iff $X = 0$. Hence the map

$$\phi : \text{SO}(3) \rightarrow M$$

given by $k \rightarrow \text{Ad}(k)x$ is local diffeomorphism, moreover $\text{SO}(3)$ is compact therefore ϕ is really covering map. $\text{SO}(3)$ is three dimensional manifold hence $\dim M = 3$, and M is a homogeneous and therefore it is isoparametric hypersurface of S^4 . The tangent space of M at x is

$$T_x M = [\mathfrak{so}(3), x].$$

The geodesic in M with initial vector $[X, x]$ at x is given by $\exp(tX)x \exp(-tX)$. Therefore the shape operator at x with respect to a unit normal vector ξ is given by

$$A[X, x] = -[X, \xi].$$

Using

$$\xi := \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2i \end{pmatrix},$$

computation shows that the principal curvatures are $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and 0. Hence M has $p = 3$ distinct principal curvatures each of multiplicity 1.

4. INHOMOGENEOUS ISOPARAMETRIC HYPERSURFACES

A systematic approach to find inhomogeneous isoparametric hypersurface was given by Ferus, Karcher and Münzner in [14] who associated to a representation of Clifford algebra C_{m-1} on $\mathbb{R}^l$ a Cartan-Münzner polynomial that gives rise to an isoparametric hypersurface with $g = 4$ principal curvatures in S^{2l-1} .

Definition 4.1. For each integer $m \geq 0$, the Clifford algebra C_m is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{R}$, that is generated by a unity 1 and the element $e_1, \dots, e_m$ subjected only to the relations

$$e_i^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i,$$

where $i \neq j$, and $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.

A representation of C_{m-1} on $\mathbb{R}^l$ corresponds to a set of skew-symmetric matrices $E_1, \dots, E_{m-1}$ in the orthogonal group $O(l)$ such that

$$E_i E_j + E_j E_i = -2\delta_{ij} Id.$$

Definition 4.2. An $(n+1)$ -tuple $(P_0, \dots, P_m)$ of symmetric endomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{2l}$ is called a Clifford system if

$$P_i P_j + P_j P_i = 2\delta_{ij} Id.$$

We define $(P_0, \dots, P_m)$ of symmetric endomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{2l}$ by

$$P_0 = (x, y), \quad P_1 = (y, x), \quad P_{i+1} = (E_i y, -E_i x); \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^l.$$

Definition 4.3. A representation of Clifford system is irreducible iff representation of C_{m-1} is irreducible.

Therefore by Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro [3] Clifford algebra C_{m-1} has an irreducible representation of degree l if and only if $l = \delta(m)$ as in the table:

m	$\mathbf{C}_{m-1}$	$\delta(m)$
1	$\mathbb{R}$	1
2	$\mathbb{C}$	2
3	$\mathbb{H}$	4
4	$\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$	4
5	$\mathbb{H}(2)$	8
6	$\mathbb{C}(4)$	8
7	$\mathbb{R}(8)$	8
8	$\mathbb{R}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}(8)$	8
$k+8$	$\mathbb{C}_{k-1}(16)$	$16\delta(k)$

Reducible representations of C_{m-1} on $\mathbb{R}^l$ can be obtained by taking a direct sum of k irreducible representation of C_{m-1} on $\mathbb{R}^{\delta(m)}$ for $l = k\delta(m)$, $k > 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Given a Clifford system $(P_0, \dots, P_m)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2l}$ such that $m_1 := m$ and $m_2 := l - m - 1$ are positive, then $F : \mathbb{R}^{2l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$*

$$F(x) := \langle x, x \rangle^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \langle P_i x, x \rangle^2$$

is an isoparametric function defining an isoparametric family with $p = 4$, and multiplicities (m_1, m_2) .

Proof. We need to check that this polynomial satisfies in Cartan-Münzner differential equations (13):

$$\text{grad}(\mathbf{F}(x)) = 4\langle x, x \rangle x - 8 \sum_i \langle P_i x, x \rangle P_i x,$$

hence

$$|\text{grad}(\mathbf{F}(x))|^2 = 16\langle x, x \rangle^3 + 64 \sum_{i,j} \langle P_i x, x \rangle \langle P_j x, P_j x \rangle - 64 \sum_i \langle P_i x, x \rangle^2 \langle x, x \rangle = 16\langle x, x \rangle^3,$$

because $\langle P_i x, P_j x \rangle \langle P_j P_i x, x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle \delta_{ij}$. Note that $P_j P_i$ is skew-symmetric for $i \neq j$. Furthermore,

$$\Delta \mathbf{F}(x) = 4(2l+2)\langle x, x \rangle - 2 \sum_i (2 - \langle P_i x, x \rangle \Delta(\langle P_i x, x \rangle) + 2|\text{grad} \langle P_i x, x \rangle|^2) = 8(m_2 - m_1)\langle x, x \rangle,$$

and this completes the proof. $\square$

Due to Ferus, Karcher and Münzner, isoparametric hypersurfaces resulting from this theorem are called isoparametric hypersurfaces of FKM-type .

We present a list of the low-dimensional Clifford examples with $(m_1, m_2) = (m, k\delta(m) - m - 1)$. In the table below, the case where $m \leq 0$ are denoted by a dash.

$\delta(m)$	1	2	4	4	8	8	8	8	16	...
k										
1	-	-	-	-	(5,2)	(6,1)	-	-	(9,6)	...
2	-	(2,1)	(3,4)	(4,3)	(5,10)	(6,9)	(7,8)	(8,7)	(9,22)	...
3	(1,1)	(2,3)	(3,8)	(4,7)	(5,18)	(6,17)	(7,16)	(8,15)	(9,38)	...
4	(1,2)	(2,5)	(3,12)	(4,11)	(5,26)	(6,25)	(7,24)	(8,23)	(9,54)	...
5	(1,3)	(2,7)	(3,16)	(4,17)	(5,34)	(6,33)	(7,32)	(8,31)	(.)	...
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	...
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	...

This table not only contains all homogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces from section 3 with exception of two homogeneous families, having multiplicities (2, 2) and (4, 5) but also many inhomogeneous isoparametric hypersurfaces, because their multiplicities do not agree with the multiplicities of any homogeneous isoparametric hypersurface from the table of previous section. However Ferus, Karcher and Münzner also gave a geometric proof of the inhomogeneity of many of their examples, more precisely they proved following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. [14]. *Suppose $3 \leq 3m_1 \leq m_2 + 9$, and in case $m=4$, $P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3 \neq \pm Id$. Then the isoparametric family is inhomogeneous.*

This theorem says that there are many isoparametric hypersurfaces which do not admit isometry group such that act transitively on the family of isoparametric hypersurface. Hence this gives negative answer to the third question of Cartan.

Open Questions:

- (1) All known examples of isoparametric hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures are either FKM-type or two exceptional homogeneous families, having multiplicities (2, 2) and (4, 5). But one still does not know whether further examples exist.
- (2) If $p = 6$, then Abresch [1] proved that $m_1 = m_2$ and there are only the possibilities $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ and we saw in previous section that there are homogeneous example in both cases. In the case of multiplicity $m=1$, Dorfmeister and Neher [13] showed that an isoparametric hypersurface must be homogeneous, thereby completely classifying that case. The proof of Dorfmeister and Neher is quite algebraic in nature. The classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces with six principal curvatures of multiplicities $m = 2$ is part of problem 34 on Yau's [26] list of important open problems in geometry, and remains an open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abresch U., Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four or six distinct principal curvatures, *Math. Ann.* **264** (1983), 283–302.
- [2] Atiyah M.F., Bott R., Shapiro A., Clifford modules, *Topology* **3** (1964), suppl. 1, 3–38.
- [3] Cartan É., Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante, *Annali di Mat.* **17** (1938), 177–191 (see also in *Oeuvres Complètes*, Partie III, Vol. 2, 1431–1445).

- [4] Cartan É., Sur des familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces sphériques, *Math. Z.* **45** (1939), 335–367 (see also in *Oeuvres Complètes*, Partie III, Vol. 2, 1447–1479).
- [5] Cartan É., Sur quelque familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces, in C.R. Congrès Math. Liège, 1939, 30–41 (see also in *Oeuvres Complètes*, Partie III, Vol. 2, 1481–1492).
- [6] Cartan É., Sur des familles d'hypersurfaces isoparamétriques des espaces sphériques à 5 et à 9 dimensions, *Revista Univ. Tucuman, Serie A*, **1** (1940), 5–22 (see also in *Oeuvres Complètes*, Partie III, Vol. 2, 1513–1530).
- [7] Cecil T., A characterization of metric spheres in hyperbolic space by Morse theory, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **26** (1974), 341–351.
- [8] Cecil T., Isoparametric and Dupin Hypersurfaces, *SIGMA* **4** (2008), 062, 28 pages,
- [9] Cecil T., Ryan P., Tight and taut immersions of manifolds, *Research Notes in Math.*, Vol. 107, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [10] S. Console, C. Olmos, Clifford systems, algebraically constant second fundamental form and isoparametric hypersurfaces, *Manuscripta Math.* **97** (1998), 335–342.
- [11] Ferus D., Private communication
- [12] Ferus D., Notes on isoparametric hypersurface, *Escola de Geometria Diferencial* 1980
- [13] Dorfmeister J., Neher E., Isoparametric hypersurfaces, case $g = 6$, $m = 1$, *Comm. Algebra* **13** (1985), 2299–2368.
- [14] Ferus D., Karcher H., Münzner H.-F., Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen, *Math. Z.* **177** (1981), 479–502.
- [15] Hsiang W.-Y., Lawson H. B., Jr., Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity, *J. Differential Geom.* **5** (1971), 1–38.
- [16] Miyaoka R., The Bryant-Salamon G_2 manifolds and hypersurface geometry, Preprint
- [17] Münzner H.-F., Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären, *Math. Ann.* **251** (1980), 57–71.
- [18] Münzner H.-F., Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären II: Über die Zerlegung der Sphäre in Ballbündel, *Math. Ann.* **256** (1981), 215–232.
- [19] Nomizu K., Some results in E. Cartan's theory of isoparametric families of hypersurfaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 1184–1188.
- [20] Nomizu K., Élie Cartan's work on isoparametric families of hypersurfaces, in Differential geometry (Stanford, 1973), Editors S.-S. Chern and R. Osserman, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. 27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975, Part 1, 191–200.
- [21] Nurowski P., Distinguished dimensions for special Riemannian geometries, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, Volume 58, Issue 9, p. 1148–1170.
- [22] Segre B., Famiglie di ipersuperficie isoparametriche negli spazi euclidei ad un qualunque numero di demensioni, *Atti. Accad. naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* **27** (1938), 203–207
- [23] Takagi R., Takahashi T., On the principal curvatures of homogeneous hypersurfaces in a sphere, in Differential Geometry (in Honor of Kentaro Yano), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 469–481.
- [24] Thorbergsson G., A survey on isoparametric hypersurfaces and their generalizations, in Handbook of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, Editors F. Dillen and L. Verstraeten, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2000, 963–995.
- [25] Wang Q.-M., Isoparametric functions on Riemannian manifolds. I, *Math. Ann.* **277** (1987), 639–646.
- [26] Yau S.-T., Open problems in geometry, in Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds (Los Angeles, 1990), Editors R. Greene and S.T. Yau, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, Part 1, 439–484.

khezri@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN

UNTER DEN LINDEN 6

SITZ: JOHN-VON-NEUMANN-HAUS, ADLERSHOF

D-10099 BERLIN, GERMANY